SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)
W.P. (S) No. 6788 /2006

Petitioner : Radhe Shyam Rangari
S/o Shri Kunji Lal Rangari,
Aged about 47 years,
Asst. Teacher, Prathmik Shala
Ghonga, Block - Bodla,
Distt- Kabirdham (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6908/06
Presented by Shri Deepak Jain
dated 30/11/06

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - Secretary
School Education Department,
Mantralaya D.K.S. Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
30/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. District Education Officer,
Kawardha, District- Kabirdham
(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER SECTION 226 / ~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(18)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 6786 of 2006

Petitioner : Suresh Kumar Mankar

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & another

W. P. (S) No. 6787 of 2006

Petitioner : Lala Ram Sahu

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & another

W. P. (S) No. 6788 of 2006

Petitioner : Radhe Shyam Rangari

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & another

And

W. P. (S) No. 6790 of 2006

Petitioner : Mahesh Kumar Khurana

Versus

Respondents: State of Chhattisgarh & another

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Shri Deepak Jain, Advocate for the Petitioner(s).

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 13th day of December, 2006)

1. The petitioners are working as Assistant Teachers in the government schools. In the course of their services as Assistant Teachers, the petitioners have obtained B.Ed./ B.T.I. examination certificates on their own expenses before 1.3.1999.

(19)

2. Writ Petition (S) No.s 6786/2006, 6787/2006, 6788/2006 and 6790/2006 are being disposed of by this common order as the facts and question of law involved in these petitions are common.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are entitled to two advance increments in view of the decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. This Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), came to the conclusion that the teachers, who had obtained B. Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses, are covered by the circular dated 24.12.98 (Annexure P/7) issued by the State Government of Madhya Pradesh.

4. Further in the matter of Smt. Manorama Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. and others { 2000 (1) M.P.L.S.R. 136 } the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Gwalior Bench, while considering the circular dated 1.3.1999 wherein, it is provided that the Assistant Teachers, who have acquired B. Ed. degree at their own cost between 23.10.1964 and 7.5.1973 shall be entitled to two advance increments, relied on decision in the case of Rakesh Kumar Shrivastava Vs. State of M.P. & others passed in O.A. No. 1632/99, decided on 12.10.1999 wherein it is observed that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively. Thus, a teacher, may be Assistant Teacher, who has obtained B. Ed. degree before 1.3.1999 is entitled to two advance increments.

5. It is pointed out by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the then State of Madhya Pradesh had filed a Special Leave Petition against the orders passed by the Tribunal and the Hon'ble Supreme Court dismissed Special Leave Petition No. 1999/2000 filed against the order of the Tribunal. Therefore, the direction to the

(20)

effect that the circular dated 1.3.1999 cannot be made applicable retrospectively, passed by the Tribunal, becomes final and the same shall be applicable to all the Assistant Teachers who have obtained B. Ed./B.T.I. examination certificate before 1.3.1999. It is further substantiated by a circular dated 17.10.2003 issued by the present State of Madhya Pradesh wherein the circular clearly states about the decision of the Supreme Court.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present case are squarely covered by decision of this Court in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the averment made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B. Ed./B.T.I. Examination Certificate on their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 1.3.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

8. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash